

#### Structure

- ✤ Built in 1965
- Separate entry & exit single helix ramps
- Two way slab supported by beams and columns







#### Design



## Design









- ☆ Study performed in 1981 including chloride testing
  - results indicated high chloride content
  - approximately 20% delaminated deck surface
  - deck repairs performed for an extended 5 year service life
- Further study performed in 1986 including half cell potential
  - deck repaired with silica fume patches performed for an extended 5 year service life
- ☆ 1991 all ramp deck surfaces coated
- ☆ Further deck patches performed in 2004
- Deemed too expensive to maintain and closed in 2007

21 m 1 m m	Kanas Ger Tastas Lacou	
	-	
max m		25
	뮾	5Ξ
	=	55
414 M	#	32







DRILL SITE	DEPTH(in.)	CHLORIDE	CONTENT
Hole #1	0-1	1322	bbæ
	1-2	1176	ppm
	2-3	523	ррш
Hole #2	0-1	1026	מת מ
	1-2	952	DDO
	2–3	967	ррш
Hole #4	0-1	837	DDm
	1-2	554	DDm
	2-3	463	ppm
Hole #5	0-1	565	າກຕາ
	1-2	424	DDM
	2-3	443	DDO
	DRILL SITE Hole #1 Hole #2 Hole #4 Hole #5	DRILL SITE  DEPTH(in.)    Hole #1  0-1    1-2  2-3    Hole #2  0-1    1-2  2-3    Hole #4  0-1    1-2  2-3    Hole #5  0-1    1-2  2-3	DRILL SITE      DEPTH(in.)      CHLORIDE        Hole #1      0-1      1322        1-2      1176      2-3        2-3      523        Hole #2      0-1      1026        1-2      952      2-3        2-3      967      967        Hole #4      0-1      837        1-2      554      2-3        Hole #5      0-1      565        1-2      424        2-3      443



- ☆ Study performed in 1981 including chloride testing
  - results indicated high chloride content
  - approximately 20% delaminated deck surface
  - deck repairs performed for extended 5 year service life
- Further study performed in 1986 including half cell potential
- deck repaired with silica fume patches performed
  for extended 5 year service life
- ☆ 1991 all ramp deck surfaces coated
- ☆ Further deck patches performed in 2004
- Evaluated and closed in 2007







- ☆ Study performed in 1981 including chloride testing
  - results indicated high chloride content
  - approximately 20% delaminated deck surface
  - deck repairs performed for extended 5 year service life
- Further study performed in 1986 including half cell potential
- deck repaired with silica fume patches performed for extended 5 year service life
- ☆ 1991 all ramp deck surfaces coated
- ☆ Further deck patches performed in 2004
- Evaluated and closed in 2007















- ☆ 2016 Study REPAIR OR DEMOLISH?
- ☆ Approximately 35% of deck surfaces delaminated
- Conventional repair and cathodic protection evaluated for increased life span and minimized yearly maintenance costs
- Demolition costs budgeted to be twice that of repair and cathodic protection
- Decision made to proceed with repairs and cathodic protection

- Temporary handrail required for construction
- New steel handrail designed to meet code for reopening

Ħ



Repairs could only be performed on one ramp at a time

8



Height and large full depth patches required extensive shoring and forming design

Ð





#### **Corrosion of Steel in Concrete**

>INITIALLY, STEEL IN CONCRETE IS PROTECTED FROM CORROSION:

- pH > 11 FORMS PROTECTIVE FILM ON SURFACE
- CONCRETE COVER ACTS AS SEMI-BARRIER
- STEEL IS SAID TO BE PASSIVATED

**BUT OVER TIME THE PROTECTION OF STEEL IS DESTOYED BY** 

- MOISTURE
- OXYGEN
- CHLORIDES FROM DE-ICING SALTS



#### **Corrosion of Steel in Concrete**



#### • **RESULTS OF CORROSION:**

- DELAMINATION OF CONCRETE
- EASY PATH FOR NEW CHLORIDES
- SECTION LOSS OF REBAR
- STRUCTURAL WEAKENING
- STRUCTURAL FAILURE



## **Corrosion of Steel in Concrete**

- ANODE (corrosion occurs, chlorides)
- CATHODE (protected area)
- ELECTROLYTE (concrete)
- METALLIC PATH (rebar)

REDUCTION  $O_2 + 2H_2 O + 4e^{-} ---> 4OH^{-}$ 



## **Problems with Traditional Repairs**



# **Cathodic Protection (CP)**

- Corrosion control solution for chloride contaminated concrete
- A small DC current flows from an anode material, installed in the structure, to the rebar
- Rebar becomes the cathode and is protected
- Impressed current cathodic protection (ICCP) uses a power supply – rectifier
- Sacrificial cathodic protection uses galvanic anodes





# What's a Good Candidate for CP?

 Existing, salt contaminated concrete, or corrosive environment

- Bare reinforcing steel
- Structurally sound and resilient
- History of concrete repairs (but not too many)
- High volume structure or critical use structure
- Hollow slabs where the deck is integral
- Parking garages below a building (lateral bracing)
  Long-term owner
- Owner with history of using Cathodic Protection

## **Titanium Based Anodes (ICCP)**

Extensive track record (> 10,000 concrete structures protected worldwide)
 Minimum 40-year anode life expectancy
 Grade 1 titanium substrate
 Mixed-metal oxide (MMO) sintered coating
 Available in mesh and ribbon form
 Popular choice for horizontal decks (bridges, parking garages, etc.)





#### **Design and Layout**



## Installation

Ground wire connection to the rebar (cathode)

• Reference electrode installed in each zone. Used to monitor system performance







## Installation

• Titanium MMO anode mesh placed on scarified and repaired concrete deck

• Spot weld current distributor strip across rows of anode mesh







## Installation

- LMC concrete overlay placed over anode mesh.
- Conduit and wiring from spiral ramp are run to electrical room that houses the rectifier and remote monitor units for the complex.
- System energized and adjusted for optimum performance and corrosion protection





