October 17th, 2023 ICRI 2023 Fall Convention

Influence of Ambient Environmental Condition on Performance of Pre-Blended Patching Materials



James Roberts Graduate Research Assistant Clemson University Dr. Prasad Rangaraju Principal Investigator Clemson University



The ideas expressed in this ICRI hosted webinar are those of the speakers and do not necessarily reflect the views and opinions of ICRI, its Board, committees, or sponsors.

Background – Bridge Conditions

SMARTLAB Sudainable Material/ Research and Technology Laborator Glenn Department of CIVIL ENGINEERIN

- Bridge Conditions SC
 - Good: 3525 (42.37%)
 - Fair: 4018 (48.3%)
 - **Poor**: 776 (9.33%)
 - 48% of bridges by count were built from the 1960's-70's.
 - 40% of concrete bridges are near or have already exceeded their original design service life of 50 years.

- Bridge Conditions US
 - Over 220,000 bridges (36%) need repair.
 - 45,000 remain "Structurally Deficient" and are in poor condition.
 - 295,000 bridges (48%) are in fair condition.
 - Estimated cost to repair ~ \$41.8 billion.

Background – Bridge Deck Deterioration

- On a bridge structure, the decks are the most vulnerable to deterioration over time.
- Deck directly bears traffic loads
- High surface area to volume ratio
- Environmental Factors:
 - Freeze thaw
 - Aggressive Agents
 - Poor Curing conditions —
- Physical/Chemical Factors:
 - Overloading
 - Poor design/compatibility
 - Corrosion of steel reinforcement
 - Poor construction practices

Early Age Impacts & Often Overlooked







Need for study

- Material Selection:
- Wide variety of available materials
- Very limited requirements for material implementation
 - Generally, strength gain is the most important factor
- Majority of manufactured materials components are kept proprietary.
- Engineers are forced to make a cost-efficient solution without proper knowledge of performance or compatibility.

"Often times, the design for repair and rehabilitation is executed by a staff with limited skill and experience in the field of durability, corrosion and repair"

- P.H. Emmons, A.M. Vaysburd



Purpose of study

- Better understand how early-age factors (temperature) affect long term durability.
- Provide engineers with insight into how materials react to external stimuli and how they interact with substrates as a composite.



Overview

- Experimental Testing All samples conditioned and tested at 10, 23 & 40°C.
 - VICAT Setting Time Test
 - Determination of initial & final setting time of patching materials sieved at 4.75 mm.
 - Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV)
 - Measures the rate of crystalline micro-structural development over first 24 hours of curing.
 - Isothermal Calorimetry
 - Measurement of the exothermic heat production resulting from hydration reactions, for first 7 days.
 - Compressive Strength
 - Samples cured in temperature-controlled chamber before compressive testing at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 3 & 7 days.

VICAT Setting Time Test

- ASTM C191-21/C807-21
- Directly compare setting behavior at 10, 23 & 40°C

VICAT 10°C

• Increased temperatures causes rapid loss of workability





VICAT 40°C







VICAT – 10,23 & 40°C 600.0 100.0 90.0 500.0 80.0 70.0 400.0 Time (min) Time (min) 60.0 300.0 50.0 40.0 200.0 30.0 20.0 100.0 10.0 0.0 0.0 M4P2 M4P1 M3P3 M3P2 M3P1 M2P1 M1P5 M1P4 M1P3 M1P2 M1P1 M4P2 M4P1 M3P3 M3P2 M3P1 M2P1 M1P5 M1P4 M1P3 M1P2 M1P1 ■ 10C (Ti) ■ 10C (Tf) ■ 23C (Ti) ■ 23C (Tf) ■ 40C (Ti) ■ 40C (Tf) 10C (Ti) 10C (Tf) 23C (Ti) 40C (Ti) 40C (Ti)

VICAT – 10,23 & 40°C

Ultrasonic-Pulse Velocity (UPV)

- Measuring micro-structural development
- Decreasing temperature dampens the rate of binder stiffening & hardening phases



M1 UPV - 10,23 & 40°C



M2 UPV – 10,23 & 40°C





Ultrasonic-Pulse Velocity (UPV)





M3 UPV – 10,23 & 40°C

Isothermal Calorimetry

- Measure of materials exothermic heat production
- Useful to observe how ambient temperature affects hydration reactions
- Lower temperatures can decrease overall reactivity











Cumulative Heat - 40°C





Isothermal Calorimetry



Heat Release Rate - 40°C



Compressive Strength

- 3 in. x 6in. cylinder Samples
- Strength testing at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 3 & 7 days
- High temperatures made some materials un-workable



SMART LAB







Compressive Strength- 10°C

^{■6} hr ■12 hr ■1 Day ■3 Day ■7 Day

Compressive Strength







^{■ 6} Hour ■ 12 Hour ■ 1 Day ■ 3 Day ■ 7 Day

Compressive Strength





Compressive Strength- 10°C vs. 40°C

■ 6 Hour ■ 12 Hour ■ 1 Day ■ 3 Day ■ 7 Day

Conclusions



- Ambient temperature extremes have significant impacts on setting behavior and long-term mechanical performance & properties of patching materials.
- Certain materials are more susceptible to being impacted by temperature fluctuations than others.
- VICAT testing shows extreme loss in workability at 40°C. Certain materials setup almost immediately, which could lead to poor consolidation in-situ.
- UPV testing displays how lower temperatures can heavily delay micro-structural development thus affecting setting time and strength gain, however some materials showed minimal impact.
- High temperature exposure during setting can rapidly increase the rate of exothermic heat production, but it can decrease the overall heat produced over time.
- Alterations in material properties due to ambient temperatures can impact both short- and long-term repair performance and compatibility with substrate concrete.
- For engineers to make the proper material selections and implementation recommendations, they need a thorough understanding of how these materials can be affected by the environment.

Questions?

- James M. Roberts
- Email: jmr5@clemson.edu
 - Dr. Prasad Rangaraju
- Email: prangar@clemson.edu



Sustainable Materials Research and Technology Laboratory

5C Di

SPR 755

CIVIL ENGINEERING

Glenn Department of